Some people think that the government is wasting money on the arts and that this money could be better spend elsewhere.

To what extent do you agree with this view?

Arts sometimes reflect the heritage of a country and depict the creativity of individuals in a certain era. While many believe (that) financing in arts is a wastage of money, I believe the benefits its drawbacks. This essay elaborates on the benefits merits of spending money on arts from the national budget, and consequencests of diverting this small portion to other sections.

I am <u>on of</u> the opinion that all people benefit from arts, and even those who claim (that) they do not like any kind of arts are suppressing a natural part of their emotions. I think arts play an important role in in many aspects of our lives, so not only do I disagree with reduction of the fund dedicated <u>on to this area</u> arts, but also I think governments have to increase its their support to art and artists. Despite the undeniable fact that arts can be dangerous for a specific group of people such as those who do the drugs to create more attractive works, I think a most of us <u>have</u> benefited from arts.

Equivalently equally importantly, funding the art can support the ones who are gifted, but cannot afford to follow their talent. There are some good examples in my country, Iran, where many talented superstars grew with supports of governmental aids. One of them for example is a pop singer called Ebi who was discovered and trained by a specific program by public budget.

By the way of conclusion, I once again reaffirm my position that I do agree with funding the arts because of its their direct and indirect impacts on society. I would like to draw the attention of those who insist on directing the art budget to other sections that in most cases the amount of money spent on arts is not considerable comparable with than other sections, so this cutting this small portion of money does not have a considerable effect on other sections.